Application No. Applicant(s) KITAKAMI, YASUHARU 09/925,439 Interview Summary **Art Unit Examiner** 2652 Tianjie Chen All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Tianjie Chen (Primary Examiner, PTO). (2) Peter A. Veytsman (Representative). Date of Interview: 25 May 2004. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: <u>JP3-62354 and US Re37,170E</u>. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Representative ans Examiner agree to propose an amndment on claim 1 by introducing "when the pickup reads the data from the disk" to overcome the rejection. A detailed translation of JP 3-62354 is needed to further determine the front of the device.</u>

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

TIANJIE CHEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Paper No. 6

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

#)